

ORIGINAL

REGULATORY COMMISSION

2006 NOV -9 P 12:33

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

CP06-54

descript the peaceful
tranquil waters of
the Sound.

Jonathan Dealy
7 Dean St
Commack, N.Y.

11725

10/30/06

Megalee R. Salas

Broadwaters too
costly, risky and not
needed in the Sound and
the constant ~~private~~
armed private security

ORIGINAL

NOV 2, 2006 CP06-54

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Magalie R. Salas

Federal Energy Reg

NOV -9 P 12:33

Commissioner

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

888 First St. N.E., 10th Fl.

Washington, D.C. 20426

Dear Magalie Salas:

The Long Island Sound
does not need a costly,
risky, LNG barge. Broadwater
is too costly, risky and not
needed in the Sound and
the private security will
disrupt our peaceful
waters.

Sincerely,

Lisa Apuzzo

LA:

ORIGINAL

11-2-2006

CP06-54

Magalie R. Salas
 Federal Energy Regulatory
 Commission
 888 First St NE
 Washington DC 20426

RECEIVED
 OFFICE OF THE
 SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 PM 3:02

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
 REGULATORY COMMISSION

Broadwater is too costly, risky
 and not needed in the Sound &
 the constant armed private
 security disrupts the peaceful
 tranquil waters of the
 Sound.

This facility limits public
 access & sets a dangerous
 precedent of industrializing
 the Sound

Sincerely

Mrs Helen Palmer
 1253 Barbary Rd Wantagh, NY
 11793

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

To Whom Concerns,

Broadwater is too costly, risky,
and not needed in the sound of
the constant armed private
security disrupts the peaceful
tranquil water of the Sound

Thank you

Debra Prock

3630 Southview Ave

WANTAGE, NC 27683

RECEIVED ENERGY
COMMISSION

NOV - 9 P 3 16

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

ORIGINAL Magdali R. Salas
F. E. R. C.
888 First at. NE Rail A
Washington D.C.
20420

Moham, CP06-54

I urge you not to support
the Broadwater project. It is too
costly & risky & not needed in the
L. J. sound.

Michael E. Davis

FILED
FEB 6 2007
FEB 6 2007

3-22
2006 Nov 9

In honor of my (uncle) October 2

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

I am against the Biocharter facility. There are too many environmental risks involved, and I do not want this to be the start of more industrial projects in the area.

The beauty of the sound is its peaceful atmosphere and preserved natural state and this facility would severely disrupt both.

Please do not build this facility.

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 3 00

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Maureen L. Shurt

11/11/06
11/11/06
95 Lee Ave

CP06-54

ORIGINAL


November 1, 2006

To Whom It May Concern:

In my opinion the following statement is correct. "Broadwater would be too costly, too risky, and is not need in Long Island Sound. This facility is an inappropriate use of the estuary. Our tranquil, calm waters of the Sound would be forever disrupted by the constant presence of armed security forces surrounding the barge."

I feel that our natural habitats should be preserved not industrialized. I am hopeful that our government supports this cause and look forward to a written response on this issue.

Sincerely,



Mary Wolyniec
54 Grove Street
Massapequa, NY 11758

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -9 P 3:01
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

TO Whom it May Concern

Broadwater is too costly, risky
and not needed in the sound & the
constant armed private security disrupts
the peaceful tranquil waters of the sound

Donna D. Silva
3442 Heather Ln
Wentzville MO 64093

2006-11-09 10:20:20

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

11/1/06

Dear Magalie Salas,

I am against the Broadwater
Project being considered in
the L.I. Sound. It is
too costly, risky and not
needed.

Mrs Tgd

Janet Tgd
3812 Charles Ct
Hempstead, N.Y.
11783

NOV 1 2006
FEDERAL ENERGY
COMMISSION
P 3:20

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

John T Cavanagh
3 Peppermint Road
Commack, NY 11725
October 30th, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

2006 NOV -9 P 12:42

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

Subject: Broadwater Venture

M. R. Salas

We on Long Island, especially those of us who live on the North Shore, have for too long had to battle against un-necessary, costly, environmentally unsound energy projects. This venture is just one more of them against which we must protest. Please pay attention to what the taxpayers want for a change. Please do not support the Broadwater Venture.

Thank you in advance.


John T Cavanagh

ORIGINAL

November 2, 2006

CP06-54

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E., Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

2006 NOV -9 P 2:51

REGULATORY COUNCIL

Dear Ms. Salas

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. This facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound.

Thank you!

Cordially,



Michael Barago

ORIGINAL

November 2, 2006

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E., Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

2006 NOV -9 P 2:51

REGULATORY COMMISSION


CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. This facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound.

Thank you!

Cordially,



Luana Barago

ORIGINAL

November 2, 2006

MAILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E., Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

2006 NOV -9 P 2:51

REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. This facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound.

Thank you!

Cordially,


James Barago

ORIGINAL

November 2, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E., Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 2:52

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. This facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound.

Thank you!

Cordially,


Jacqueline Barago

ORIGINAL

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., NE Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 12:42

CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas,

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION


I am against the Broadwater Plan to place a natural gas terminal in the Long Island Sound. It's too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound. The constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful water of the Sound that we have come to love.

This facility limits public access and sets a bad precedent of industrializing the Sound.

Please do all you can to defeat this plan.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,



Renay Weisberg
122 Caramel Road
Commack, NY 11725

ORIGINAL

1464 Olcott St.
Wantagh, NY 11793

October 31, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E. Room 1A
Washington, D.C. 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 12:42

FOR THE SECRETARY
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54


Dear Magalie R. Salas,

The Broadwater Liquid Natural Gas terminal is too costly, risky and not needed in the Long Island Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Please respond in writing informing me of your position regarding this matter.

Thank you.

Yours truly,



Darlene Cummings

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

Long Island, October 30th, 2006

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

c/o Ms. Magalie R. Salas
888 First St., N.E. Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

2006 NOV -9 P 12:40

REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Salas:

The proposed Broadwater Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal installation, in the middle of the Long Island Sound, is nothing else than DANGER and DISRUPTION to our environment, just in the middle of a very heavy used boat traffic passageway.

Broadwater L.N.G. will be too costly, risky and we don't needed it in the Long Island Sound, because the 2-3 Liquefied tankers entering through the Sound every week, plus their escorts of **armed private security forces**, would ruin the peaceful L. I. Sound for ever, creating a potentially permanent risk of a catastrophe.

Broadwater L N G facility would be interfering and disrupting a heavy concentration of recreational fishermen and vacationing families throughout the boating season, therefore this facility is an inappropriate use of the estuary.

Broadwater L N G terminal installation would limits public access, setting also shameful precedent of industrializing the Long Island Sound against the best interest of our citizens. Our elected officials have a responsibility deciding if they will side with big Corporations or with the best interest of our families.

Considering the potential risk of any accident in the Liquefied Natural Gas facility as well as in any of the 2-3 tankers entering through the L.I. Sound every week, and its catastrophic consequences in the environment, without any doubt is better to avoid such a dangerous potential risk now, instead of having to say later: "I am sorry".

Hoping to hear from you at your earliest convenience,


Jorge A. Patterson
7 Fox Lane
Commack, NY 11725

c.c. Hon. Charles Schumer, Hon. Hillary Clinton, Hon. Elliot Spitzer, Governor M. Jodi Rell, Hon. Chris Dodd, Hon. Joseph Lieberman.

ORIGINAL

Al & Theresa Isaacs
312 Coleridge St.
Levittown, NY 11756

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St, N.E, Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -9 P 2:46
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

Dear Miss Salas,

After reading US Coast Guard Captain Peter Boynton's results of the security report regarding the proposed Broadwater Liquid Natural Gas terminal right here in the Long Island Sound we felt compelled to write. In short, Broadwater is too costly and far too risky for our waters. The armed private security would be a huge disruption as well as an obvious hindrance to public access. Our Sound does not need to be industrialized.

I thank you for your time and attention to this important issue.

Regards,

Mr & Mrs. A. Isaacs

Al & Theresa Isaacs

ORIGINAL

Captain Peter Boynton
 US Coast Guard
 Commanding Officer
 Group/MSO Long Island Sound
 120 Woodward Ave.
 New Haven, CT 06512

CP06-54

FILED
 OFFICE OF THE
 SECRETARY

Magalie R. Salas
 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
 888 First Street, N.E., Room 1A
 Washington, DC 20426

2006 NOV -9 P 2:46

ENERGY
 REGULATORY COMMISSION

As a resident of Long Island, I am writing to adamantly state my opposition to the proposed Broadwaters liquefied natural gas facility to be located in the Long Island Sound.

Environmentally:

The L.I. Sound is an Estuary of National Significance, so designated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Over the last ten years, the citizens of L.I. have spent \$1 billion dollars working to improve the conditions of the Sound by cleaning up industrial waste problems. **Why would we want to jeopardize that now?**

Renewable Energy:

LNG(liquefied natural gas) is a fossil fuel and is NOT a renewable form of energy. TransCanada and Shell have made it clear that the natural gas they would import to the Broadwaters facility will be from sources outside of North America, **and will be of little benefit (if at all) to Long Islanders...**

Security Issues:

Since LNG is an explosive gas, there would be a minimum of one mile security radius around the facility, as well as around the three expected barges coming in and out each week. This will create the first "PUBLIC NO ACCESS ZONE" on the L.I. Sound. **Possible explosions** from the platform operations, as well as other technical malfunctions also represent a significant threat to human and ecological safety

Economic Issues:

Twenty million people live within 50miles of the Sound beaches. The Sound generates an estimated \$5.5 billion annually for regional economy. Residents depend on the Sound for recreational purposes, including fishing, boating and swimming, as well as commercial harvesting of shellfish and finfish. **The increased tanker traffic with associated "security areas" can only create a disruption to this balance.**

The LNG facility would endanger the health and safety of the Long Island Sound and should not be built!

Sign and print your name and address below.



Leslie S. Falcone

PO Box 722
 Aquebogue, NY 11931

BW008985

ORIGINAL

Captain Peter Boynton
 US Coast Guard
 Commanding Officer
 Group/MSO Long Island Sound
 120 Woodward Ave.
 New Haven, CT 06512

Magalie R. Salas
 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
 888 First Street, N.E., Room 1A
 Washington, DC 20426

C P06-54

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 2:47

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

As a resident of Long Island, I am writing to adamantly state my opposition to the proposed Broadwaters liquefied natural gas facility to be located in the Long Island Sound.

Environmentally:

The L.I. Sound is an Estuary of National Significance, so designated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Over the last ten years, the citizens of L.I. have spent \$1 billion dollars working to improve the conditions of the Sound by cleaning up industrial waste problems. **Why would we want to jeopardize that now?**

Renewable Energy:

LNG(liquefied natural gas) is a fossil fuel and is NOT a renewable form of energy. TransCanada and Shell have made it clear that the natural gas they would import to the Broadwaters facility will be from sources outside of North America. **and will be of little benefit (if at all) to Long Islanders...**

Security Issues:

Since LNG is an explosive gas, there would be a minimum of one mile security radius around the facility, as well as around the three expected barges coming in and out each week. This will create the first "PUBLIC NO ACCESS ZONE" on the L.I. Sound. **Possible explosions** from the platform operations, as well as other technical malfunctions also represent a significant threat to human and ecological safety

Economic Issues:

Twenty million people live within 50miles of the Sound beaches. The Sound generates an estimated \$5.5 billion annually for regional economy. Residents depend on the Sound for recreational purposes, including fishing, boating and swimming, as well as commercial harvesting of shellfish and finfish. **The increased tanker traffic with associated "security areas" can only create a disruption to this balance.**

The LNG facility would endanger the health and safety of the Long Island Sound and should not be built!

Sign and print your name and address below.

J. L. Marshall
 26 Founders Path
 Baiting Hollow, NY 11933

BW008986

ORIGINAL

Captain Peter Boynton
US Coast Guard
Commanding Officer
Group/MSO Long Island Sound
120 Woodward Ave.
New Haven, CT 06512

CP06-54

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E., Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

2006 NOV -9 P 2:47

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

As a resident of Long Island, I am writing to adamantly state my opposition to the proposed Broadwaters liquefied natural gas facility to be located in the Long Island Sound.

Environmentally:

The L.I. Sound is an Estuary of National Significance, so designated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Over the last ten years, the citizens of L.I. have spent \$1 billion dollars working to improve the conditions of the Sound by cleaning up industrial waste problems. **Why would we want to jeopardize that now?**

Renewable Energy:

LNG(liquefied natural gas) is a fossil fuel and is NOT a renewable form of energy. TransCanada and Shell have made it clear that the natural gas they would import to the Broadwaters facility will be from sources outside of North America, **and will be of little benefit (if at all) to Long Islanders...**

Security Issues:

Since LNG is an explosive gas, there would be a minimum of one mile security radius around the facility, as well as around the three expected barges coming in and out each week. This will create the first "PUBLIC NO ACCESS ZONE" on the L.I. Sound. **Possible explosions** from the platform operations, as well as other technical malfunctions also represent a significant threat to human and ecological safety

Economic Issues:

Twenty million people live within 50miles of the Sound beaches. The Sound generates an estimated \$5.5 billion annually for regional economy. Residents depend on the Sound for recreational purposes, including fishing, boating and swimming, as well as commercial harvesting of shellfish and finfish. **The increased tanker traffic with associated "security areas" can only create a disruption to this balance.**

The LNG facility would endanger the health and safety of the Long Island Sound and should not be built!

Sign and print your name and address below.

Barbara Manalini

26 Founders Path

Butter, Hollow, New York -
11933

BW008987

ORIGINAL

34 Chestnut Street
Massapequa, New York 11758

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E., Rm. 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -9 P 2:52
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Ms. Salas:

I am writing regarding my concerns about the Broadwater proposal and Long Island Sound. I understand that Shell Oil and TransCanada would like to place a Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) terminal in the middle of the Sound. There are substantial risks associated with placing this facility in the Sound.

Broadwater is located in the middle of a heavily used boat traffic passageway. If Broadwater is built, the Coast Guard would mandate several critical and precedent setting safety procedures. A "no public access zone" of 1.5 square miles would be required to surround the LNG terminal. Gunned security vessels would patrol the no access zone around the clock. This section of water would no longer exist for the people of Long Island, but for the private corporation. If there was a severe accident at the terminal or LNG tanker and a large amount of gas was released, a flammable vapor cloud could travel up to 4.7 miles. Not only would this be detrimental to our environment, it would be dangerous to our firefighters. Our existing marine firefighting capability in Long Island Sound would be inadequate. Additional resources would also be needed to protect the facility. The possibility of a tax increase would be unacceptable as we Long Islanders already pay very high taxes. In addition, the security zone around the tankers would disrupt the heavy concentration of recreational fishermen throughout the boating season.

The Broadwater facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound. It would be too costly, too risky, and is not needed in Long Island Sound. The constant presence of armed private security forces surrounding the barge would disrupt our tranquil, calm waters of the Sound. I would appreciate it if you would respond to my concerns and inform me of your position on this issue.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



Amy R. Walsleben

ORIGINAL

Mrs. Paula Zoll
 Ms. Kathy Zoll
 3934 Keily Drive
 Seaford, NY 11783
 November 1, 2006

Ms. Maralie R. Salas
 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
 888 First Street NE, Room 1A
 Washington, DC 20426

FILED
 OFFICE OF THE
 SECRETARY
 2006 NOV -9 P 2:54
 REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54


Dear Ms. Salas:

We're writing to you regarding the Broadwater Safety & Security Report. We do not profess that we are knowledgeable about the cost, risk, or needs of this project. But we do know something about other projects that have, at taxpayers' expense, been detrimental to our environment and/or a big waste of time and money. Two examples that wasted taxpayer's dollars are the Nuclear Plant at Wading River, Long Island and Florida's Everglades being cleared... who knows how long it will take Mother Nature to clean up/fix the destruction of that environment.

We say **NO** to this 'bright idea'. Leave the Long Island Sound alone. No matter where you put that monstrosity (barge), I do know it will disrupt the environment. ☹ Think about the storms that hit the sound, how are 'they' going to prevent that type of catastrophe? I can see it now, this project turning the Long Island Sound into another Love Canal.

We're asking you to say NO to this project. Thank you for your time and attention to this very important decision.

Respectfully yours,


 Paula Zoll


 Kathy Zoll

ORIGINAL

November 2, 2006

To whom it may concern

CP06-54

Re: Broadwater Project

Herein I am requesting that you enact your powers within the government to stop the Broadwater project. Broadwater would be too costly, too risky and is not needed in Long Island Sound. Our tranquil, calm waters of the Sound would be forever disrupted by the presence of armed private security forces surrounding the barge.

It is my understanding that this project is in whole or in part controlled by foreign companies in Canada and Holland. One of these companies is Shell Oil. Do we want foreign companies within our shores disrupting our way of life for their profit. I say NO!

Keep our domestic waters free to be used by Americans.

Sincerely,

Joanne Benjamin

RECEIVED
ENERGY
COMMISSION

2006 NOV -9 P 2:55

CLERK OF THE
SECRETARY

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

**JOSEPH A. MUCCIOLO R. A.
ARCHITECT
CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT
FIRE PROTECTION CONSULTANT**

316 TWIN LANE SOUTH
WANTAGH, NY 11793
TELE/FAX 516 221 6971
CELL 516 455 9157

November 2, 2006

To whom it may concern


Re: Broadwater Project

Herein I am requesting that you enact your powers within the government to stop the Broadwater project. Broadwater would be too costly, too risky and is not needed in Long Island Sound. Our tranquil, calm waters of the Sound would be forever disrupted by the presence of armed private security forces surrounding the barge.

It is my understanding that this project is in whole or in part controlled by foreign companies in Canada and Holland. One of these companies is Shell Oil. Do we want foreign companies within our shores disrupting our way of life for their profit. I say NO!

Keep our domestic waters free to be used by Americans.

Cordially,



Joseph A. Mucciolo

REGULATORY COMMISSION

2006 NOV -9 P 2:55

CLERK
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

ORIGINAL

November 2, 2006

To whom it may concern

CP06-54


Re. Broadwater Project

Herein I am requesting that you enact your powers within the government to stop the Broadwater project Broadwater would be too costly, too risky and is not needed in Long Island Sound. Our tranquil, calm waters of the Sound would be forever disrupted by the presence of armed private security forces surrounding the barge.

It is my understanding that this project is in whole or in part controlled by foreign companies in Canada and Holland. One of these companies is Shell Oil. Do we want foreign companies within our shores disrupting our way of life for their profit. I say NO!

Keep our domestic waters free to be used by Americans.

Cordially,


Margaret Mucciolo

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -9 P 2:55
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

31 October 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 2: 56

Dear Magalie Salas,

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

I am writing to let you know that I oppose the Broadwater LNG terminal which is being proposed to be sited in the Long Island Sound. This is a costly and potentially unsafe facility that would pose many dangers and disruptions to anyone using this body of water. Like many other Long Islanders, I enjoy boating and fishing and do not want any of the limitations which this facility would entail to adversely effect my use of, or to contaminate, this wonderful resource. No Public Access Zones, Moving No Access Zones, as well as armed security vessels in the area are not things I want to see or have to contend with when enjoying the recreational activities I have always enjoyed in the Sound.


Nor do I want to live with the risks involved with such a facility being located in the middle of the Long Island Sound. Our existing marine firefighting capabilities are inadequate to deal with either an accident of some sort at the terminal itself, or on full tankers moving from the terminal. No clear plans have been proposed as to who will fund the additional manpower and equipment necessary to make the firefighting capabilities adequate and I certainly am not willing to assume any of the burden of these expenses. Especially since two independent reports have concluded that the energy from a facility such as Broadwater is not needed!!

Additionally, if this facility is approved, a dangerous precedent would be set and further industrializing of the Sound would surely follow---forever and irreversibly changing this beautiful natural resource and recreation area.

In August of this year, Suffolk County, the county in which I live, formally banned all LNG terminals in the Long Island Sound and local jurisdiction over the Sound has been legally recognized since 1881.

When the time comes to vote on the Broadwater application, please keep in mind that this facility is not needed, would be costly, would pose serious potential risks, would definitely adversely effect the current recreational use of the Long Island Sound, and might even be illegal.

Yours truly,



Donna J. Austin
70 Old Indian Head Road
Commack, NY 11725

BW008993

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

31 October 2006

Magalie R. Salas
 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
 888 First Street NE Room 1A
 Washington, DC 20426

FILED
 OFFICE OF THE
 SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 2:56

Dear Magalie Salas,

FEDERAL ENERGY
 REGULATORY COMMISSION

I am writing to let you know that I oppose the Broadwater LNG terminal which is being proposed for the Long Island Sound. This is a costly and potentially unsafe facility that would pose many dangers and disruptions to anyone using this body of water or living in proximity to it. Like many other Long Islanders, I enjoy boating and fishing with my family and do not want any of the limitations which this facility would entail to adversely effect my use of, or to contaminate, this wonderful resource. No Public Access Zones, Moving No Access Zones, as well as armed security vessels in the area are not things I want to see or have to contend with when enjoying the recreational activities I have always enjoyed in the Sound.

Nor do I want to live with the risks involved with such a facility being located in the middle of the Long Island Sound. Our existing marine firefighting capabilities are inadequate to deal with either an accident of some sort at the terminal itself, or on full tankers moving from the terminal. No clear plans have been proposed as to who will fund the additional manpower and equipment necessary to make the firefighting capabilities adequate. Two independent reports have concluded that the energy from a facility such as Broadwater is not needed so why is serious consideration even being given to the application?

If this facility is approved, a dangerous precedent would be set and further industrializing of the Sound would surely follow—forever and irreversibly changing this beautiful natural resource and recreation area.

When the time comes to vote on the Broadwater application, please keep in mind that this facility is not needed, would be costly, would pose serious potential risks, and would definitely adversely effect the current recreational use of the Long Island Sound.

Yours truly,



Donnalyn DelMedico
 70 Old Indian Head Road
 Commack, NY 11725

BW008994

ORIGINAL

31 October 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 2:56

CP06-54

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Dear Magalie Salas,

I am writing to let you know that I oppose the Broadwater LNG terminal which is being proposed for the Long Island Sound. This is a costly and potentially unsafe facility that would pose many dangers and disruptions to anyone using this body of water or living in proximity to it. Like many other Long Islanders, I enjoy fishing and do not want any of the limitations which this facility would entail to adversely effect my use of, or to contaminate, this wonderful resource. No Public Access Zones, Moving No Access Zones, as well as armed security vessels in the area are not things I want to see or have to contend with when enjoying the recreational activities I have always enjoyed in the Sound.

Nor do I want to live with the risks involved with such a facility being located in the middle of the Long Island Sound. Our existing marine firefighting capabilities are inadequate to deal with either an accident of some sort at the terminal itself, or on full tankers moving from the terminal. No clear plans have been proposed as to who will fund the additional manpower and equipment necessary to make the firefighting capabilities adequate and I certainly am not willing to assume any of the burden of these expenses. Especially since two independent reports have concluded that the energy from a facility such as Broadwater is not needed!!

Additionally, if this facility is approved, a dangerous precedent would be set and further industrializing of the Sound would surely follow—forever and irreversibly changing this beautiful natural resource and recreation area.

When the time comes to vote on the Broadwater application, please keep in mind that this facility is not needed, would be costly, would pose serious potential risks, and would definitely adversely effect the current recreational use of the Long Island Sound.

Yours truly,



Chris DelMedico
66 Old Indian Head Road
Commack, NY 11725

ORIGINAL

Francis Esposito
22 Candy Lane
Commack, N.Y. 11725

(631)266-6622

CP06-54

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E., Rm. 1A
Washington, DC 20426

Dear Commissioner Salas:

I am writing to you to tell you that I don't want the LNG barge in the Long Island Sound. It would be too costly and risky. The constant armed private security surrounding the barge would disrupt the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

This facility would limit public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound.

For all of the above given reasons I oppose Broadwater and ask that you do the same.

Very truly yours,



FRANCIS ESPOSITO

2006 NOV -9 P 2:57
RECEIVED
SECRETARY
OF THE
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY


2006 NOV -9 P 2:57

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St. N.E. Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Please be advised that Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Yours truly,



M. Sprachman
3939 Eve Drive
Seaford, NY 11783

ORIGINAL

Magalic R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission
888 First St. N.E Rm 1A
Washington DC 20426

C.P06-54

I have lived on Long Island all my life and the Long Island Sound does not need a costly and risky LNG barge. The LIS would be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge.

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the sound. The armed security would disrupt the peaceful water of the Sound. I do hope that you will listen to the voices of the people.

Thank you for taking the lives of the people who live here into consideration.

Robert Ball
Ball
4022 Eve Drive
Seaford, NY 11783

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -9 P 2:57
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

Magalie R Salas -

Broad water must have made sense to some but not to all.

Is it absolutely necessary?

What about contamination to wildlife and human life if indeed an accident occurs? - like a leak in one of the tanks? If there are to be gunned security vessels around 24/7 and someone's gun goes off accidentally, what happens then? How many lives will be disrupted? Too many!

Who is going to fund this project? Who will bear the costs of this in case an accident occurs? Will it just be a pass-the-buck incident?

At the rate we're going, we just might as well not agree to Broad water.

J. Ross

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV - 9 P 3:00
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

Mrs Salas,

CP06-54


As a resident of Long Island I beg of you not to build such
a ghastly obstruction in such a scarce area. I hope to one day
soon start a family and move to further south Long Island.
The thought of having to pay fraudulently and exorbitantly
for this project is terrible

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 3:01

NEW YORK ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Sincerely,



William Corio
3440 Roger Dr.
Wentzville NY 11793

ORIGINAL

Nov 1 2006

Magalie R. Sales
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St NE Rm 1A
Washington DC 20426

CP06-54

Broadwater is too costly, risky & not needed
in the Sand, the constant armed private
security disrupts the peaceful, foreign
waters of the Sand.

Sincerely,

Elaine Doyle
3840 VOORHIS LN
Seaford NY 11783

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -9 P 3:02
REGULATORY COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St. NW Room 1A
Washington, D.C. 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -9 P 3:03
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

Att: Magalie R. Salas

Dear Mr. Salas, I am opposed to the proposed Liquefied Natural Gas Terminal to be placed in Long Island Sound.

We have worked hard to clean up the sound and do not need a costly, risky permanent barge to disrupt and industrialize the area.

We do not need further polluting of our endangered environment.

Sincerely yours,

Rita Post
Rita Post
3755 Mill Road
Seaford, NY 11783

Nov. 2, 2006

BW009002

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

I am writing to inform you that I believe the Long Island Sound does not need the costly and risky LNG barge. I am the mother of two young girls and I would hate to see this in the future to interfere in the tranquil waters of the sound.

Sincerely,

Janet Balkunas

Janet Balkunas
1275 Pleasant Road
Wantagh, NY 11793

2006 NOV -9 P 3:03
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

November 1, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
% Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street N.E. Room 1A
Washington, D.C. 20426

CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas,

I truly would appreciate it if you would tell your committee that
"Broadwater" is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the
constant armed private security that disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of
the Sound.

Come down here someday when you get a chance and see for yourself the
"Beauty of our Sound".

Thank you for taking the time.

Sincerely,



Eileen J. Crescenzi
32 Grove Street
Massapequa, New York 11758

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 3:03

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

BW009004

ORIGINAL

To whom it may concern:

CP06-54

Broad water is too costly, risky, and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -9 P 3:04
NATIONAL ENERGY COMMISSION

J. Han. OK

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

To whom it may concern:

Broad water is too costly, risky, and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -9 P 3:04
ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Lawrence J. O'Neil

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

To whom it may concern,

Long Island Sound does not need
a costly, risky, LNG barge. The
Tranquil LIS would be forever ruined
by private armed security forces
surrounding the barge.

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV 29 P 3:04
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

1072 Hicksville Rd.

Seaford, NY

11783

Ashley Membreno

ORIGINAL

To whom it may Concern,


CP06-54

Long Island does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. The tranquil LIS would be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge.

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -9 P 3:04
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

1072 Hicksville Rd
Oyster Bay, NY 11783

CINDY MEMBRENO
Cindy Membreno
Veronica Membreno



BW009008

ORIGINAL

November 2, 2006

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 3:05

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St, N.E., Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54


To whom it may concern,

I am writing to voice my objection to Broadwater, a proposal being put forth by Shell Oil and TransCanada to put a Liquefied Natural Gas Terminal in Long Island Sound. I'm sure there are plenty of environmental groups which can write more eloquently than I but quite simply it can only adversely affect all that we love about Long Island, our tranquil waterways, our fishing, boating and recreation not to mention the pollution. We must not allow our Sound to be industrialized. As our elected official please let my voice be heard.

Thank you!

Sincerely,

Signature



Anna Maria O'Hara

U.S.S. Representative

NY-11

Long Island Sound

BW009009

ORIGINAL

Mr. & Mrs. Bartnick
3785 Hudson Avenue
Seaford, NY 11783

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

2006 NOV -9 P 3:05

October 30, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St, N.E, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas,


Information received in regards to the proposed Broadwater Liquid Natural Gas terminal to be based in the middle of the Long Island Sound is purely a big threat to our environment.

Do the people of New York/Long Island need any more worries?

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the middle of Long Island Sound. The private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters that we so enjoy.

Please rethink and come up with a better plan.

Sincerely,



The Bartnick Family

ORIGINAL

November 2, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St, N.E., Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 3:07

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

Ms. Salas,

CP06-54

I am writing to you today to tell you that Broadwater is too costly, risky, and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

Thank you,

Alyssa Meyer
Alyssa Myers
3574 Southview Ave.
Lantragh, NY 11793


ORIGINAL

CP06-54

To Whom It May Concern:

My family has been living on Long Island for the past hundred years, and I plan on raising my family here as well. Long Island is know for its peace and quiet environment which is only twenty minutes from the greatest city in the world. The Long Island Sound doesn't need the costly and more dangerously risky LNG barge. The central idea of Long Island would be ruined by the private armed security forces surrounding the barge.

Sincerely,



John Giardino
261 Red Maple Dr So
Levittown, NY 11756

11/3/06

REGULATORY ENERGY
COMMISSION

2006 NOV -9 P 3:07

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

To Whom It May Concern:

My family has been living on Long Island for the past hundred years, and I plan on raising my family here as well. Long Island is know for its peace and quiet environment which is only twenty minutes from the greatest city in the world. The Long Island Sound doesn't need the costly and more dangerously risky LNG barge. The central idea of Long Island would be ruined by the private armed security forces surrounding the barge

Sincerely,

Jimmy Mackey
261 Red Maple Dr So
Levittown, NY 11756



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

2006 NOV -9 P 3:07

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

To whom it may concern,

Long Island Sound does not need
a costly, risky, LNG barge. The
tranquil LIS would be forever ruined
by private armed security forces
surrounding the barge.

REGULATORY COMMISSION

2006 NOV -9 P 3:08

SECRETARY

1072 Hicksville Rd.

Seaford, NY 11783
Ashley Membreno

ORIGINAL

To whom it may Concern,


CP06-54

Long Island does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. The tranquil LIS would be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge.

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
NOV - 9 P 3:08
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

1072 Hicksville Rd
Oyster Bay, NY 11783

CINDY MEMBRENO
Cindy Membreno
Veronica Membreno



BW009015

ORIGINAL

November 1, 2006

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 3:08

Magalie R. Salas
888 First St, N.E., Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

CP06-54

I do not support the proposal to place a Liquefied Natural Gas terminal in the Long Island Sound. Broadwater would interfere with the safety and freedom of Long Island boaters and citizens.

The venture is too costly, risky and not needed in the sound. It would require armed security and disrupt the peaceful waters of the Sound.

The waterways are already crowded and this proposal would set a bad precedent of industrializing the sound.

Colleen Hass



3806 Charles Court
Seaford, N.Y. 11783

BW009016

ORIGINAL

1644 Jane Street
Wantagh, NY 11793
October 31, 2006

Magalie Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St. N.E. Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

Dear Magalie R. Salas:

I would like to express my opposition to the Broadwater venture and the potential damage it would cause to the Long Island Sound. The deal is too expensive and would have a negative impact on the quality of life on Long Island. It is also setting a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Kathy Kannengeiser

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY
2006 NOV -9 P 3:09
FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission

CP06-54

888 First St, N.E. Rm 1A
Washington D.C. 20426

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

2006 NOV -9 P 3:00

OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

1703-06

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not
needed in the Sound & the constant armed
private security disrupts the peaceful
tranquil waters of the Sound.

Mr. & Mrs Joseph L. Bica
55 Rural Lane
Levittown, N.Y. 11756

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

To: *Margalite R. Sales*
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
From: *Irina Bayeva*
Levittown, NY

NOV-9 P 3:14
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

Broadwater is too costly and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the LI Sound.


Thank you.

Irina Bayeva

...and not needed. The constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful
natural waters of the Sound. It will limit public access and set a dangerous
precedent in and extending to the Sound.

ORIGINAL

CP06-54



1327 Martin dr
Wantagh, NY, 11793

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 3:15

1200 N. 10th St. / Suite 1000
Ft. Worth, TX 76102

Billy Hume

CP06-54

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE
ATTORNEY GENERAL
2006 NOV -9 P 3:15

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

The Broadwater which you want to place into the Long Island Sound is too costly, risky, and not needed. The constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. It will limit public access and set a dangerous precedent of industrializing to the Sound.

Jeffeline Hammer

NOV 9 2006

2006 NOV -9 P 3:15

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

CP06-54

ORIGINAL

The Broadwater which you want to place into the Long Island Sound is too costly, risky, and not needed. The constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. It will limit public access and set a dangerous precedent of industrializing to the Sound.

Nana W

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ENERGY COMMISSION

2006 NOV -9 P 3:15

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

Nov 2, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission
888 First St. NE Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RECEIVED

2006 NOV -9 P 3:16

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

Dear Ms. Salas:

RE: Broadwater Project

I strongly oppose this proposed project. It is far too costly and not what we as tax payers want in the beautiful LI sound. In addition this project sets a dangerous precedent by industrializing LI sound.

I would appreciate your support.

Sincerely,
Carolyn Dessel
Carolyn Dessel
1229 Day St.
Seaford, NY

11783

ORIGINAL

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St., N.E., Rm. 1A
Washington, DC 20426

11/2/06

CP06-54

Dear Sir,

I am writing about the Broadwater Liquid Natural Gas terminal installation proposed for the Long Island Sound. The Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound. The required constant armed private security will disrupt the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. The facility will limit public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound. It will also provide another close to home target for terrorists.

Please respond in writing, informing me of your position.

Thank you,

Maria A Scavo

Maria A. Scavo
3671 Centerview Avenue
Wantagh, NY 11793-2711

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 3:17

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 3:17

October 31, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St. N.E. Rm. 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

TO: Magalie Salas:


Broadwater would be too costly, too risky, and is not needed in Long Island Sound. This facility is an inappropriate use of the estuary. Our Tranquil, calm waters of the Sound would be forever disrupted by the constant presence of armed private security surrounding the barge.

This facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound. Tourism would be hurt. The disruptions in "the race" affect fishing activities.

I am concern who is ultimately paying for this.

Please do not support "Broadwater".

Sincerely,



Gladys Sullivan
3685 Hope Place
Wantagh, New York 11793

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 3:18

CP06-54

Robert Ellingham
427 Coleridge St.
Plainedge, NY 11756

ORIGINAL

November 3, 2006

Hon. Charles Schumer
US Senate
Two Greenway Plaza
145 Pine Lawn Rd
Suite 300N
Melville NY 11747


FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 3:18

CP06-54

Long Island Sound does not need a costly, risky, LNG barge. Private armed security forces surrounding the barge would forever ruin the tranquil LIS. This facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound.

Thank you for your time.



Stephen Ellingham
427 Coleridge St.
Plainedge, NY 11756

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

November 1, 2006

2006 NOV -9 P 3:18

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E.,
Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas:


I am writing today to inform you that I am against getting the LNG barge on the Long Island Sound.

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. This facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound.

Please send me a response informing me of your position.

Thank you for your time and cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,



Robert Macri
70 Grove Street
Massapequa, NY 11758

ORIGINAL

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
CLERK

2006 NOV 9 P 3:18

FEDERAL ENERGY
REGULATORY COMMISSION

November 1, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, N.E.,
Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

Dear Ms. Salas:

I am writing today to inform you that I am against getting the LNG barge on the Long Island Sound.

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound. This facility limits public access and sets a dangerous precedent of industrializing the Sound.

Please send me a response informing me of your position.

Thank you for your time and cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,


Kathy-Ann Macri
70 Grove Street
Massapequa, NY 11758

BW009030


ORIGINAL

CP06-54

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing regarding the proposed Broadwater Liquid Natural Gas terminal that is proposed for the middle of the Long Island Sound. I feel that it is a very costly venture and would ruin the Sound for all Long Islanders. Please protect our waterways and keep Long Island a great place to raise a family.

Thank You,



Karen Lung
3641 Bernard Drive
Wantagh, NY 11793

CP06-54-000
11/09/2006
11/09/2006

ORIGINAL

CP06-54


NOV 9 2006
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C.

MAGALIE R. SALAS
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
888 FIRST STREET N. E.
WASHINGTON D.C.

I RESPECTFULLY INFORM YOU THAT I AM AGAINST THE PROPOSED BROADWATER VENTURE THAT WILL PLACE NATURAL GAS IN THE MIDDLE OF LONG ISLAND. WE ARE ALREADY HAVING A BAD TIME TRYING TO KEEP OUR BEAUTIFUL EASTERN LONG ISLAND FROM ENVIRONMENTAL DISASTERS.

I REALLY DON'T BELIEVE THIS PROJECT WILL HAVE ANY BENEFIT TO OUR VICINITY AND I WILL STRONGLY OPPOSE THIS. I HAVE LIVED ON LONG ISLAND FOR 58 YEARS.

SINCERELY,



SAL PUSTORINO
3682 HOWARD LANE
WANTAGH N. Y. 11793

Friday, November 03, 2006 America Online: SPustorino

BW009032

ORIGINAL


Magalic R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission
888 First St. N.E Rm 1A
Washington DC 20426

CP06-54

I have lived on Long Island all my life and the Long Island Sound does not need a costly and risky LNG barge. The LIS would be forever ruined by private armed security forces surrounding the barge.

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the sound. The armed security would disrupt the peaceful water of the Sound. I do hope that you will listen to the voices of the people.

Thank you for taking the lives of the people who live here into consideration.



Ball
4022 Eve Drive
Seaford, NY 11783

11/9/06 P 3:20
FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20426

Dear, Mrs.R.Salas

Re: Broadwater


CP06-54

11/09/06 P 3:21

Hello,

My name is Nancy Kalista a resident of Nassau county LI for 40 years. Growing up on LI has been a pleasant experience although a difficult one financially with high taxes and energy charges. Through the struggles one thing has been a place of tranquility and beauty it is our beaches and our boating waters. People from all over the country come to visit in awe of the natural beauty of our water ways and beaches. Although I am a recreational fisherman and boater only because of time. I look to preserve this environment for our children to come. There is a sufficient amount of natural gas on long island now, we don't need anymore alternative energy sources can be used such as wind or solar power which would not pollute and or congest our waterways and propose security issues to our beautiful, tranquil LI waterways. Please write me with your views on this subject.

Thank you
Nancy Kalista



3920 Maplewood Dr.
Seaford NY 11783

ORIGINAL

Dear, mrs.r.Salas

Re: Broadwater

CP06-54

NOV -9 PM 3:21

Hello,

My name is Anthony Kalista Jr. a resident of Nassau county LI for 40 years. Growing up on LI has been a pleasant experience although a difficult one financially with high taxes and energy charges. Through the struggles one thing has been a place of tranquility and beauty it is our beaches and our boating waters. People from all over the country come to visit in awe of the natural beauty of our water ways and beaches. Although I am a recreational fisherman and boater only because of time. I look to preserve this environment for our children to come. There is a sufficient amount of natural gas on long island now, we don't need anymore alternative energy sources can be used such as wind or solar power which would not pollute and or congest our waterways and propose security issues to our beautiful, tranquil LI waterways. Please write me with your views on this subject.

Thank you
Anthony Kalista JR.



3920 Maplewood Dr.
Seaford NY, 11783

ORIGINAL

Dear, Mrs. R. Salas

Re: Broadwater

CP06-54

11/09/06 10:21

Hello,

My name is Michael Kalista a resident of Nassau county LI for 13 years. Growing up on LI has been a pleasant experience although a difficult for my family, one financially with high taxes and energy charges. Through the struggles one thing has been a place of tranquility and beauty it is our beaches and our boating waters. People from all over the country come to visit in awe of the natural beauty of our water ways and beaches. Although I am a recreational fisherman and boater only because of time. I look to preserve this environment for our children to come. There is a sufficient amount of natural gas on long island now, we don't need anymore alternative energy sources can be used such as wind or solar power which would not pollute and or congest our waterways and propose security issues to our beautiful, tranquil LI waterways. Please write me with your views on this subject.

Thank you

Michael Kalista



3920 Maplewood Dr.

Seaford NY, 11783

ORIGINAL

Dear, Mrs. R. Salas

Re: Broadwater

11/9/06 P 3:21

CP06-54

Hello,

My name is Kaley Kalista a resident of Nassau county LI for 18 years. Growing up on LI has been a pleasant experience although a difficult for my family, one financially with high taxes and energy charges. Through the struggles one thing has been a place of tranquility and beauty it is our beaches and our boating waters. People from all over the country come to visit in awe of the natural beauty of our water ways and beaches. Although I am a recreational fisherman and boater only because of time. I look to preserve this environment for our children to come. There is a sufficient amount of natural gas on long island now, we don't need anymore alternative energy sources can be used such as wind or solar power which would not pollute and or congest our waterways and propose security issues to our beautiful, tranquil LI waterways. Please write me with your views on this subject.

Thank you

Kaley Kalista

3920 Maplewood Dr.

Seaford NY, 11783

BW009037

ORIGINAL

11-9 2 3:22

CP06-54

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound and the constant armed security disrupts the peaceful tranquil of the waters.

Paul Ferretti

Paul Ferretti

1411 Sidney Court

Seaford, N.Y. 11783

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

To whom it may concern:

Broadwater is too costly, risky
and not needed in the Sound &
the constantly armed private
security disrupts the peaceful
tranquil waters of the Sound.

Sincerely,

Ann Donifree

Angelica Ruden
Michael Flood

Krusla Flood

Thomas Flood
3938 Maplewood

FILED
OFFICE OF THE
SECRETARY

2006 NOV -9 P 3:24

Washington, D.C. 20549

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

To whom it may concern:

Broadwater is too costly, risky
and not needed in the Sound &
the constantly armed private
security disrupts the peaceful
tranquil waters of the Sound.

Sincerely,

Angela Ruden
Michael Fwood
Ken D'Onofrio

Thomas Ruden
Krisla Flood

ORIGINAL

Angela Mumolo
27 Dell Lane
Wantagh, NY 11793
516-679-8850


Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Reg
Commission
888 First St. NE Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

November 3, 2006

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the com
armed private security disrupts the peaceful waters of the Sound.

Thank you,



Angela Mumolo

3 25

FEDERAL ENERGY
COMMISSION

ORIGINAL

Thomas Mumolo
27 Dell Lane
Wantagh, NY 11793
516-679-8850

Margalite R Salas
E. ER. C.
888 First St. NE
Rm 1
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

November 3, 2006

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the
Sound. The constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful
waters of the Sound.

Thank you,

Thomas Mumolo

Thomas Mumolo

THE
ARY

P 3

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

To Whom It May Concern,

Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful and tranquil waters of the sound.

The Macaluso Family

Amy Macaluso
Marino Macaluso
Cory Macaluso

2006-11-09 09:03:03

ORIGINAL

CP06-54

To Whom It May Concern,
 Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the sound & the constant
 armed private security disrupts the peaceful and tranquil waters of the sound.
 The Macaluso Family

Henry Macaluso
 Marino Macaluso
 Cingh Macaluso

ORIGINAL

DIANE MULLIN


CP06-54

November 2, 2006

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St. N.E. Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

I am writing to tell you how I feel about the Broadwater venture. To the best of my knowledge I believe that Broadwater is too costly, risky and not needed in the Sound & the constant armed private security disrupts the peaceful tranquil waters of the Sound.

I would be curious to hear your position on the subject. I would appreciate your responding to me in writing at your convenience.



Sincerely,

NOV 9 2006 3:25

BW009045

ORIGINAL

11/3/06

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St. N.E., Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

Dear Magalie R. Salas:

Hello. My name is Robin Cammarata and I am writing to you to help protest our water on Long Island. Our water is too precious to place a costly and risky LNG barge in it. I am sure I speak for many families on the Island that enjoy these tranquil waters and do not want to see them ruined by private armed forces surrounding it. This facility will limit our public access and set a dangerous risk to the sound. Please help us protect the water for everyone's safety.

Thank You

Robin Cammarata

Robin Cammarata
235 Red Maple Dr.
Levittown, NY 11756

BW009046

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St. N.E., Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

Hello. My name is Robin Cammarata and I am writing to you to help protest our water on Long Island. Our water is too precious to place a costly and risky LNG barge in it. I am sure I speak for many families on the Island that enjoy these tranquil waters and do not want to see them ruined by private armed forces surrounding it. This facility will limit our public access and set a dangerous risk to the sound. Please help us protect the water for everyone's safety.

Chris Cammarato

Robin Cammarata
235 Red Maple Dr.
Levittown, NY 11756

1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31
 32
 33
 34
 35
 36
 37
 38
 39
 40
 41
 42
 43
 44
 45
 46
 47
 48
 49
 50
 51
 52
 53
 54
 55
 56
 57
 58
 59
 60
 61
 62
 63
 64
 65
 66
 67
 68
 69
 70
 71
 72
 73
 74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
 93
 94
 95
 96
 97
 98
 99
 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
 105
 106
 107
 108
 109
 110
 111
 112
 113
 114
 115
 116
 117
 118
 119
 120
 121
 122
 123
 124
 125
 126
 127
 128
 129
 130
 131
 132
 133
 134
 135
 136
 137
 138
 139
 140
 141
 142
 143
 144
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 150
 151
 152
 153
 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
 167
 168
 169
 170
 171
 172
 173
 174
 175
 176
 177
 178
 179
 180
 181
 182
 183
 184
 185
 186
 187
 188
 189
 190
 191
 192
 193
 194
 195
 196
 197
 198
 199
 200
 201
 202
 203
 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
 221
 222
 223
 224
 225
 226
 227
 228
 229
 230
 231
 232
 233
 234
 235
 236
 237
 238
 239
 240
 241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258
 259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276
 277
 278
 279
 280
 281
 282
 283
 284
 285
 286
 287
 288
 289
 290
 291
 292
 293
 294
 295
 296
 297
 298
 299
 300
 301
 302
 303
 304
 305
 306
 307
 308
 309
 310
 311
 312
 313
 314
 315
 316
 317
 318
 319
 320
 321
 322
 323
 324
 325
 326
 327
 328
 329
 330
 331
 332
 333
 334
 335
 336
 337
 338
 339
 340
 341
 342
 343
 344
 345
 346
 347
 348
 349
 350
 351
 352
 353
 354
 355
 356
 357
 358
 359
 360
 361
 362
 363
 364
 365
 366
 367
 368
 369
 370
 371
 372
 373
 374
 375
 376
 377
 378
 379
 380
 381
 382
 383
 384
 385
 386
 387
 388
 389
 390
 391
 392
 393
 394
 395
 396
 397
 398
 399
 400
 401
 402
 403
 404
 405
 406
 407
 408
 409
 410
 411
 412
 413
 414
 415
 416
 417
 418
 419
 420
 421
 422
 423
 424
 425
 426
 427
 428
 429
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 436
 437
 438
 439
 440
 441
 442
 443
 444
 445
 446
 447
 448
 449
 450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459
 460
 461
 462
 463
 464
 465
 466
 467
 468
 469
 470
 471
 472
 473
 474
 475
 476
 477
 478
 479
 480
 481
 482
 483
 484
 485
 486
 487
 488
 489
 490
 491
 492
 493
 494
 495
 496
 497
 498
 499
 500
 501
 502
 503
 504
 505
 506
 507
 508
 509
 510
 511
 512
 513
 514
 515
 516
 517
 518
 519
 520
 521
 522
 523
 524
 525

ORIGINAL

11/3/06

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St. N.E., Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

Dear Magalie R. Salas:

Hello. My name is Robin Cammarata and I am writing to you to help protest our water on Long Island. Our water is too precious to place a costly and risky LNG barge in it. I am sure I speak for many families on the Island that enjoy these tranquil waters and do not want to see them ruined by private armed forces surrounding it. This facility will limit our public access and set a dangerous risk to the sound. Please help us protect the water for everyone's safety.

Thank You

Emily Cammarata

Robin Cammarata
235 Red Maple Dr.
Levittown, NY 11756

BW009048

ORIGINAL

11/3/06

Magalie R. Salas
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First St. N.E. Rm 1A
Washington, DC 20426

CP06-54

Dear Magalie R. Salas:

Hello. My name is Robin Cammarata and I am writing to you to help protest our water on Long Island. Our water is too precious to place a costly and risky LNG barge in it. I am sure I speak for many families on the Island that enjoy these tranquil waters and do not want to see them ruined by private armed forces surrounding it. This facility will limit our public access and set a dangerous risk to the sound. Please help us protect the water for everyone's safety.

Thank You

Robin Cammarata

Robin Cammarata
235 Red Maple Dr.
Levittown, NY 11756

BW009049